

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/896,240 06/29/2001		Victor Fielding	1359	9163		
24118	7590 10/08/2003			EXAM	EXAMINER	
HEAD, JOH	NSON &	KACHIGIAN	NGUYEN, SON V			
228 W 17TH	PLACE				····	
TULSA, OK 74119				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2839		

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003

4-6-04

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_	
- 1	M.	
- 5	/\ V~	



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/896,240

Applicant(s)

Fielding

Examiner

Son Nguyen

Art Unit 2839

The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of	R REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM CATION.  37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
<ul> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply w</li> </ul>	days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ill, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  ter the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
	ed on
.,	2b) ☑ This action is non-final.
	for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is tice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-14</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)	is/are allowed.
6) 🗓 Claim(s) 1-14	is/are rejected.
	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by t	the Examiner.
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any o	objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction fi	led on is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are	required in reply to this Office action.
12) $\square$ The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
	im for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some* c)□ None o	rf:
<ol> <li>X Certified copies of the priority</li> </ol>	documents have been received.
	documents have been received in Application No
application from the In	of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage ternational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). In for a list of the certified copies not received.
	im for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
_	guage provisional application has been received.
-	im for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachment(s)	,
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948) / 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)

Application/Control Number: 09/896,240 Page 2

Art Unit: 2839

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Austin (US 6,470,139) in view of Perlman (US 6,530,085).

Austin discloses an electrical apparatus [2, figure 2] comprising a printed circuit board having processing components and at least one scart connection for a connection of a video recorder and a PC. Austin discloses the basic instant claimed invention except to show an adaptor having scart connectors.

Perlman discloses a cable having scart connectors reads on applicant's adaptor.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify the apparatus of Austin to include the cable/adaptor as taught by Perlman in order to provide the electrical connection between the devices, as well known in the art of electrical connectors.

Art Unit: 2839

## Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Son Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-8745.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus, can be reach on (703) 308-3119. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

SON V. NGUYEN
PATENT EXAMINER